

Thermodynamics of the Flexible Metal-Organic Framework Material MIL-53(Cr) From First Principles

Eric Cockayne

*Materials Measurement Science Division, Material Measurement Laboratory,
National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899 USA*

We use first-principles density functional theory total energy and linear response phonon calculations to compute the Helmholtz and Gibbs free energy as a function of temperature, pressure, and cell volume in the flexible metal-organic framework material MIL-53(Cr) within the quasiharmonic approximation. GGA and metaGGA calculations were performed, each including empirical van der Waals (vdW) forces under the D2, D3, or D3(BJ) parameterizations. At all temperatures up to 500 K and pressures from -30 MPa to 30 MPa, two minima in the free energy versus volume are found, corresponding to the narrow pore (*np*) and large pore (*lp*) structures. Critical positive and negative pressures are identified, beyond which there is only one free energy minimum. While all results overestimated the stability of the *np* phase relative to the *lp* phase, the best overall agreement with experiment is found for the metaGGA PBEsol+RTPSS+U+J approach with D3 or D3(BJ) vdW forces. For these parameterizations, the calculated free energy barrier for the *np-lp* transition is only 3 to 6 kJ per mole of $\text{Cr}_4(\text{OH})_4(\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{O}_4)_4$.

Microporous flexible metal-organic framework materials are fascinating both from a fundamental point of view and for their numerous potential applications such as gas storage, gas separation, sensors, drug delivery, etc.[1–5] A well-studied example is the MIL-53 family,[6] with formula $\text{M}(\text{OH})(\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{O}_4)$, where M is a trivalent species such as Cr, Sc, Al, Ga or Fe. These structures consist of zigzag M-OH-M-OH... chains, crosslinked by 1,4-benzodicarboxylate $\text{O}_2\text{C}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{CO}_2$ (bdc) units (Fig. 1). Each M is coordinated by two oxygens of OH units and four carboxylate oxygens yielding octahedral oxygen coordination.

These MIL-53 compounds exhibit a variety of topologically equivalent structures with different volumes, but generally include a narrow pore (*np*) structure and a large pore (*lp*) structure, both with formula $\text{M}_4(\text{OH})_4(\text{bdc})_4$ per conventional unit cell, but with significantly different volumes. In MIL-53(Al), the phase transition between *np* and *lp* forms can be reversibly achieved by cycling the temperature;[7] the cell parameter corresponding to the direction of the short axis of the lozenge pores was found to increase by 87 % in the *np-lp* transformation. By way of comparison, the strain variations achieved or predicted in functional “hard” materials such as $(\text{PbMg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3}\text{O}_3)_{(1-x)}-(\text{PbTiO}_3)_x$ [8] or BiFeO_3 [9] are much smaller. The large hysteresis[7] in the *np-lp* phase transition of MIL-53(Al) indicates that the transition is first-order. Taking the transition temperature as the midrange of the hysteresis loop, the transition temperature T_c is approximately 260 K; an estimate based on experimental sorption measurements places the transition at a somewhat lower temperature of 203 K.[10]

For empty MIL-53(Cr), the *lp* structure is thermodynamically preferred at all temperatures. In this system, a phase transition to a *np* structure has instead been observed in the case of (1) sorption of a variety of sorbates; (2) pressure. The hysteresis of the process in each case[11] indicates again that there is a transition barrier. By fitting sorption isotherms, it was determined

that the free energy difference between the *lp* and *np* forms of MIL-53(Cr) was only about 12 kJ mol⁻¹ of $\text{Cr}_4(\text{OH})_4(\text{bdc})_4$. [12–14] An experiment that put the system under hydrostatic pressure[15] came up with a similar free energy difference.

The phase transition of MIL-53(Al) was explained by Walker et al.[16] in 2010. Van der Waals interactions stabilize the *np* structure at low temperature, and vibrational entropy drives the structural transition to the *lp* phase above T_c . Density functional theory (DFT) phonon calculations were used to quantify the vibrational entropy. In that work, however, the DFT energy and vibrational entropy were determined for only the *np* and *lp* structures. However, to build an accurate picture of the *np-lp* phase transition, including the hysteresis and possible coexistence of *np* and *lp* phases,[17] it is necessary to know the quantitative free energy landscape over the *full* volume range spanning the *np* and *lp* structures. This free-energy landscape of MIL-53 systems has previously been modeled in an *ad hoc* manner.[18, 19] This paper uses density functional total energy and phonon linear response calculations to compute the Helmholtz and Gibbs free energy in MIL-53(Cr) as a function of temperature, pressure, and cell volume, under the quasiharmonic approximation. MIL-53(Cr) was chosen because of its relatively simple phase transformation behavior and because it is well-characterized experimentally.

The thermodynamic calculations are performed within the quasiharmonic approximation. In the quasiharmonic approximation, the anharmonic lattice dynamics that leads to thermal expansion, etc., is approximated by harmonic lattice dynamics where the phonon frequencies are volume-dependent. Suppose that one has a crystal where the rank-ordered frequencies $\nu_\mu(V)$ can be determined for an arbitrarily large supercell (equivalently at arbitrary points in the Brillouin zone of the primitive cell). The contribution of phonons to the thermodynamics is then given well-known expressions.[20–23] Defining a dimen-




FIG. 1. Structure of MIL-53(Cr). Cr atoms green, O red, C gray, and H yellow. (a) bdc linkers joining zigzag Cr-OH-Cr... chains. (b) Each zigzag chain is coordinated with four neighboring chains; each Cr is octahedrally coordinated with six O. (c) Narrow pore (*np*) phase showing bdc rotations. (d) Large pore (*lp*) phase. In (c) and (d), the H are not shown.

sionless parameter $x_\mu(V, T) = \frac{h\nu_\mu(V)}{k_B T}$, the molar internal energy as a function of volume and temperature is given by

$$\frac{U}{N}(V, T) = \lim_{|a_{\min}| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} (U_0(V) + k_B T \sum_{\mu=4}^{3N_A} [\frac{x_\mu(V, T)}{2} \coth(\frac{x_\mu(V, T)}{2})]), \quad (1)$$

the Helmholtz free energy by

$$\frac{F}{N}(V, T) = \lim_{|a_{\min}| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} (U_0(V) + k_B T \sum_{\mu=4}^{3N_A} [\frac{x_\mu(V, T)}{2} + \ln(1 - e^{-x_\mu(V, T)})]), \quad (2)$$

and the Gibbs free energy is given by $\frac{G}{N}(V, T) = \frac{F}{N}(V, T) + PV$. $U_0(V)$ is the ground state energy neglecting zero-point vibrations, N the number of moles

and N_A the number of atoms in the supercell, and the summation begins at $\mu = 4$ to avoid the weak singularity due to the zero-frequency translational modes.

First principles density functional theory calculations, as encoded in the VASP software (24 and 25), were used to compute $U_0(V)$ and $\nu_\mu(V)$ for a 152-atom supercell of MIL-53(Cr), doubled along c so as to make a , b , and c similar in magnitude for the *lp* phase. Two different sets of calculations were performed: GGA calculations using the PBEsol functional[26] and meta-GGA calculations using the PBEsol+RTPSS[27] functionals. These functionals were chosen because we have had success with them in past studies of microporous materials.[28, 29] For each level of DFT, the nonlocal van der Waals interactions were treated using three different approximations of Grimme et al.: DFT-D2,[30] DFT-D3,[31] and DFT-D3(BJ).[32] Anisotropic Hubbard parameters[33] were used for Cr and O atoms (GGA: $U(\text{Cr}) = 4.0$ eV, $J(\text{Cr}) = 0.5$ eV; metaGGA: $U(\text{Cr}) = 2.8$ eV, $J(\text{Cr}) = 0.5$ eV; $U(\text{O}) = 7.05$ eV). Spin polarized calculations were performed using the most-stable antiferromagnetic arrangement of charges on the Cr^{3+} ions. Further details of the DFT calculations are given in the Supplementary Information (SI).

Determination of $U_0(V)$ for each functional was done via straightforward fixed-volume relaxation for (primitive cell) increasing in 50 \AA^3 steps from 650 \AA^3 to 1700 \AA^3 . The phonon frequencies for the 152-atom supercell were calculated using ab initio linear response. As this method converges toward exact second derivatives of the energy, it is more accurate than fitting frozen-phonon results. Due to the large number of degrees of freedom, the phonon calculations are very expensive, and eventually only three calculations were used for the thermodynamics: $V = 710 \text{ \AA}^3$, $V = 1200 \text{ \AA}^3$, and $V = 1506 \text{ \AA}^3$. Linear response was only done using GGA and DFT-D2; the same phonon frequencies $\nu_\mu(V)$ were used for each functional in Eq. (2); only the U_0 changed. Because the variation in volume between the *np* and *lp* phases is so large, one does not expect the conventional linear Grüneisen approximation for $\nu_\mu(V)$ to apply. Instead, we fit the phonon frequencies at intermediate volumes by fitting to the following physically-motivated expression:

$$\nu_\mu^2(V) = \nu_{\mu\infty}^2 + C_1/V + C_2/V^2. \quad (3)$$

The coefficients in Eq. (3) were determined by fitting the results for the three frequencies calculated. If $\nu_{\mu\infty}^2$ in the fit was less than zero, it was set to zero and the fit recalculated. Due to computational limitations, it is not possible to calculate larger supercells for use in Eq. (2). Instead, the contribution of optical phonons to the thermodynamics was approximated by the phonon spectra calculated for the single 152-atom supercell. The contribution of acoustic phonons to the thermodynamics was approximated by numerical integration of estimated acoustic frequencies over the first Brillouin zone. Further details are given in the Supplementary Information.

First, the phonons were calculated for the *np* and *lp*




FIG. 2. Local geometry of MIL-53(Cr) (*lp*) after DFT relaxation of “H flopping” mode. Each H relaxes to sit approximately 2.4 Å from each of a pair of oxygens (dashed lines); the O are superposed from this vantage point.




FIG. 3. Calculated DFT energy for MIL-53(Cr) at 0 K as a function of volume for different density functionals, neglecting zero-point motion. Each curve is scaled so that its minimum is zero.

structures. All modes were stable for the *np* structure. For the *lp* structure, instabilities were found. The most unstable modes, for both the force-constant and dynamical matrices, were hydrogen “flopping” modes in which the H in each hydroxyl group move in the $\pm x$ direction so as to decrease the distance to a pair of carboxylate oxygens (Fig. 2). Fully relaxing this mode maintains orthorhombic symmetry, the 152-atom cell is now a primitive cell.

The structure obtained upon relaxation of the flopping instability was taken as the reference *lp* structure. To obtain the initial structure for the fixed volume relaxations used to determine $U_0(V)$, the ionic coordinates were interpolated (or extrapolated) from the initial *np* and *lp* structures.

The $U_0(V)$ determined for the various density functionals are shown in Fig. 3. The $F(V)$ for $T = 293$ K are shown in Fig. 4. For every plot in Fig. 4, there are two minima in the free energy, corresponding to *lp* and *np* structures. The effect of phonon entropy is to reduce the free energy of the *lp* structure with respect to the *np* structure, as expected. Calculations show that the free energies for temperatures up to 500 K and pressures be-




FIG. 4. Calculated Helmholtz free energy for MIL-53(Cr) at 293 K as a function of volume for different density functionals. Each curve is scaled so that its minimum is zero. The effect of atmospheric pressure of about 0.1 MPa is negligible on this scale.

TABLE I. Calculated structural and thermodynamic results for MIL-53(Cr) for different choices of the density functional. See text for explanation of the column headings. V are in \AA^3 ; F and G in kJ mol^{-1} (1 mole = 1 mole of $\text{Cr}_4(\text{OH})_4(\text{bdc})_4$); P in MPa. RT is room temperature, or 293 K.

xc	vdW	V_{0np}	V_{0lp}	$V_{np}(RT)$	$V_{lp}(RT)$	ΔF	P_c	$G_b(RT; P_c)$
GGA	D2	720	1533	728	1534	+62.3	-127.0	45.6
GGA	D3	811	1532	835	1534	+13.5	-32.0	14.1
GGA	D3(BJ)	806	1443	822	1483	+32.1	-76.8	18.7
metaGGA	D2	778	1461	798	1466	+10.4	-25.6	16.0
metaGGA	D3	892	1505	948	1512	-4.9	14.6	3.2
metaGGA	D3(BJ)	875	1393	919	1493	+10.6	-30.7	6.0

tween -30 MPa and 30 MPa maintain two minima for all density functionals tested.

Table I summarizes and compares the results for the different functionals used. The volumes at which the minima for U_0 occur are given by V_{0np} and V_{0lp} . The locations of the minima in F at room temperature (RT; 293K) are given by $V_{np}(RT)$ and $V_{lp}(RT)$. The calculated difference in F between the *np* and *lp* minima is $\Delta F(RT) = F_{lp}(RT) - F_{np}(RT)$. The critical pressure P_c is where the calculated Gibbs free energy of the *np* and *lp* phases becomes equal at $T = 293$ K. $G_b(RT; P_c)$ is the calculated free energy barrier between the phases at this pressure.

Substantial differences are seen depending on what density functional is used. The general trend is for the GGA functionals and the D2 vdW term to give lower V_{np} and higher ΔF than the metaGGA functionals and D3 or D3(BJ) choices for the vdW interaction. Which functional gives the best agreement with experiment? The experimental unit cell volume of the *lp* phase of MIL-53(Cr) is 1486 \AA^3 . (Ref. 34) The volume of the *np* phase formed upon sorption of H_2O is 1012 \AA^3 , (Ref. 34) but this cannot be directly compared with the calculation for the empty cell reported here. As the *np* phase of MIL-

53(Cr) is thermodynamically unstable experimentally, we take the experimental volume[7, 35] of MIL-53(Al) np , 864 Å³, and estimate that the volume of MIL-53(Cr) should be about 900 Å³ due to the larger ionic radius of Cr³⁺. The best agreement with experiment for the lattice parameters is for the metaGGA-D3(BJ) parameterization, while the second best is for metaGGA-D3. On the other hand, the relative stability of the lp phase found experimentally, $\Delta F \approx -12.0$ kJ mol⁻¹ is underestimated by *all* the functionals chosen. The metaGGA-D3 calculation is best in this regard, as it is the only calculation to yield a negative ΔF . All of the metaGGA calculations perform better than GGA in predicting the relative phase stability. As the metaGGA-D3 and metaGGA-D3(BJ) have the best agreement with experiment, their low values of the transition barrier G_b , 3.2 to 6.0 kJ mol⁻¹ should be considered most reliable.

It is interesting to put the comparative results in context of previous studies. In MIL-53, it has previously been found that the D2 vdW overbinds the np phase;[36] this work confirms that result. Benchmarking the performance of DFT calculations is currently receiving a great deal of attention[37–39]. In Ref. 39, over sixty different density functionals are compared. Although the RTPSS functional is not tested, the related metaGGA functional TPSS-D3 gives good results for graphite, which suggests that these parameterizations may work well for MIL-53, where the np phase has benzyl rings of carbon approaching each other. Further work is needed to make a full comparison among methods because the current work: (1) includes Hubbard U and J parameters; (2) needs a vdW functional that reproduces the vdW interactions correctly over a wide range of structural distortion, not merely at one equilibrium point.

The metaGGA-D3 calculation predicts that the lp phase of MIL-53(Cr) is stable at room temperature, in agreement with experiment. Interestingly, it predicts a transition to the np phase below $T = 160$ K, similar to what actually occurs for MIL-53(Al). The estimated change in ΔF with temperature is about -0.036 kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. Applying this to the experimental $\Delta F \approx -12.0$ kJ mol⁻¹, the lp phase is expected to remain stable down to $T = 0$ K, albeit with a free energy advantage of less than 2 kJ mol⁻¹.

The shallowness of the free energy profile suggests that sufficiently large positive or negative pressure would drive the Gibbs free energy $G(V, T = 293 \text{ K})$ into a regime where it has only one minimum corresponding to either a np or a lp structure. In Fig. 5, we show $G(V, T = 293 \text{ K})$ for various pressures -80 MPa to 80 MPa, using the metaGGA-D3 results. At pressures above about 60 MPa, there is a unique minimum at the np phase; below about -40 MPa, there is one minimum at the lp phase. If the zero in pressure is shifted to correct for the error in the metaGGA-D3 ΔF with respect to experiment, the predicted pressures are shifted to about 80 MPa and -20 MPa, respectively. Of course the prediction of the pressures at which the free energy converts




FIG. 5. Calculated Gibbs free energy for MIL-53(Cr) at 293 K as a function of volume and pressure for the metaGGA-D3 density functional. Each curve is scaled so that its minimum is zero.




FIG. 6. Calculated MIL-53(Cr) lattice parameters and cell angle β versus volume.

to a single minimum only sets an upper bound on the width of the pressure hysteresis loop; in practice, fluctuations will cause the transitions to occur at less extreme pressures. With this in mind, experimental transition pressures for the hysteresis loop of roughly 50 MPa and 20 MPa for MIL-53(Cr)[40] are consistent with the DFT results. Note that negative pressures do have physical relevance in microporous materials in the case of sorption—the effective solvation pressure can be either positive or negative depending on the sorbate concentration.[41]

In Fig. 6, the crystallographic data for the DFT metaGGA-D2 structural relaxations are shown. The lattice parameters are scaled to the volume of the conventional unit cells. To make the orthorhombic-monoclinic transition clear, the monoclinic cell parameters a and β are for an unconventional body-center monoclinic setting. The orthorhombic-monoclinic transition occurs at $V \sim 1500$ Å³, intriguingly close to the experimental cell volume. In addition to the structural transitions, there

are three regimes in the behavior of the lattice constants: (1) below about 850 \AA^3 , a , b and c all increase with volume; (2) between about 850 \AA^3 and 1650 \AA^3 , a decreases with volume b increases with volume, and c is nearly flat as the structure flexes; (3) above about 1650 \AA^3 , all lattice parameters increase again. The crossover between regimes (2) and (3) does not occur at the same volume as the monoclinic-orthorhombic transition. To a first approximation, the free energy is nearly flat in regime (2) and increases rapidly above and below this range. The three regimes agree qualitatively with those seen in a recent experiment on the related material MIL-53(Al) under pressure.[42]

To summarize, we used density functional theory to

tal energy and linear response phonon calculations to compute the free energy profile of MIL-53(Cr) under the quasiharmonic approximation. The density functionals that best match the experimental results give remarkably flat free energy profiles, with a transition barrier of only about a 3 to 6 kJ mol^{-1} between the narrow pore and large pore phases.

I thank Laura Espinal, Kevin F. Garrity, and Winnie Wong-Ng for helpful discussions.

This paper was published as J. Phys. Chem. C **2017**, **121**, 4312-4317 (DOI:10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b11692). The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at the DOI given immediately above.

-
- [1] Gérard Férey and Christian Serre, “Large breathing effects in three-dimensional porous hybrid matter: facts, analyses, rules, and consequences,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **38**, 1380–1399 (2009).
 - [2] Mays Alhamami, Huu Doan, and Chil-Hung Chen, “A review of breathing behaviors of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for gas adsorption,” *Materials* **7**, 3198–3250 (2014).
 - [3] A. Scheneemann, V. Bon, I. Schwedler, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel, and R. A. Fischer, “Flexible metal-organic frameworks,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 6062–6096 (2014).
 - [4] François-Xavier Coudert, “Responsive metal-organic frameworks and framework materials: Under pressure, taking the heat, in the spotlight, with friends,” *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 1905–1916 (2015).
 - [5] Gérard Férey, “Structural flexibility in crystallized matter: from history to applications,” *Dalton Trans.* **45**, 4073–4089 (2016).
 - [6] Christian Serre, Franck Millange, Christelle Thouvenot, Marc Noguès, Gérard Marsolier, Daniel Louër, and Gérard Férey, “Very large breathing effect in the first nanoporous chromium-III based solids: MIL53 or $\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}(\text{OH})_2\text{O}_2\text{C-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-CO}_2$,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 13519–13526 (2002).
 - [7] Yun Liu, Jae-Hyuk Her, Anne Dailly, Anibal J. Ramirez-Cuesta, Dan A. Neumann, and Craig M. Brown, “Reversible structural transition in MIL-53 with large temperature hysteresis,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 11813–11818 (2008).
 - [8] Seung-Eek Park and Thomas R. Shroud, “Ultrahigh strain and piezoelectric behavior in relaxor based ferroelectric single crystals,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **82**, 1804–1811 (1997).
 - [9] Oswaldo Dieguez, O. E. Gonzalez-Vazquez, Jacek C. Wojdel, and Jorge Iniguez, “First-principles prediction of low-energy phases of multiferroic BiFeO_3 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 094105 (2011).
 - [10] Anne Boutin, François-Xavier Coudert, Marie-Anne Springuel-Huet, Alexander V. Neimark, Gérard Férey, and Alain H. Fuchs, “The behavior of flexible MIL-53(Al) upon CH_4 and CO_2 adsorption,” *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**, 22237–22244 (2010).
 - [11] Christian Serre, Sandrine Bourrelly, Alexander Vimont, Naseem A. Ramsahye, Guillaume Maurin, Phillip L. Llewellyn, Marco Daturi, Yaroslav Filinchuk, Oliver Leynaud, Paul Barnes, and Gérard Férey, “An explanation for the very large breathing effect of a MOF during CO_2 adsorption,” *Adv. Mater.* **19**, 2246–2251 (2007).
 - [12] François-Xavier Coudert, Merie Jeffroy, Alain H. Fuchs, Anne Boutin, and Caroline Mellot-Draznicks, “Thermodynamics of guest-induced structural transitions in hybrid organic-inorganic frameworks,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14294–14302 (2008).
 - [13] Sabine Devatour-Vinot, Guillaume Maurin, François Henn, Christian Serre, Thomas Devic, and Gérard Férey, “Estimation of the breathing energy of flexible MOFs by combining TGA and DSC techniques,” *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 2733–2735 (2009).
 - [14] David S. Coombes, Furio Corà, Caroline Mellot-Draznicks, and Robert G. Bell, “Sortion-induced breathing in the flexible metal organic framework MIL-53(Cr): Force-field simulations and electronic structure analysis,” *J. Phys. Chem C* **113**, 544–552 (2009).
 - [15] Isabelle Beurroies, Mohammed Boulhout, Philip L. Llewellyn, Bogdan Kuchta, Gérard Férey, Christian Serre, and Renaud Denoyel, “Using pressure to provoke the structural transition of metal-organic frameworks,” *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 7526–7529 (2010).
 - [16] Andrew M. Walker, Bartolemeo Civalleri, Ben Slater, Caroline Mellot-Draznicks, Furio Corà, Claudio M. Zicovich-Wilson, Guillermo Román-Pérez, José M. Soler, and Julian D. Gale, “Flexibility in a metal-organic framework material controlled by weak dispersion forces: the bistability of MIL-53(Al),” *Angewandte Chemie Int. Ed.* **49**, 7501–7503 (2010).
 - [17] Carles Triguero, François-Xavier Coudert, Anne Boutin, Alain H. Fuchs, and Alexander V. Neimark, “Understanding adsorption-induced structural transitions in metal-organic frameworks: from the unit cell to the crystal,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 184702 (2012).
 - [18] Carles Triguero, François-Xavier Coudert, Anne Boutin, Alain H. Fuchs, and Alexander V. Neimark, “Mechanism of breathing transitions in metal-organic frameworks,” *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2**, 2033–2037 (2011).
 - [19] An Ghysels, Louis Vanduyfhuys, Matthias Vandichel, Michel Waroquier, Veronique Van Speybroeck, and Berend Smit, “On the thermodynamics of framework breathing: A free energy model for gas adsorption in

- MIL-53,” *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**, 11540–11554 (2013).
- [20] A. A. Maradudin, E. W. Montroull, and G. H. Weiss, *Theory of Lattice Dynamics in the Harmonic Approximation, Second Edition* (Academic Press, New York, 1971).
- [21] Axel van de Walle and Gerbrand Ceder, “The effect of lattice vibrations on substitutional alloy thermodynamics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 11–45 (2002).
- [22] Brent Fultz, “Vibrational thermodynamics of materials,” *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **55**, 247–352 (2010).
- [23] Liang-Feng Huang, Xue-Zeng Lu, Emrys Tennesen, and James R. Rondinelli, “An efficient ab-initio quasiharmonic approach for the thermodynamics of solids,” *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **120**, 84–93 (2016).
- [24] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, “Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set,” *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
- [25] Certain commercial software is identified in this paper to adequately describe the methodology used. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the software identified is necessarily the best available for the purpose.
- [26] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, “Restoring the density-gradient expansion for exchange in solids and surfaces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 136406 (2008).
- [27] J. Sun, M. Marsman, G. Csonka, A. Ruzsinszky, P. Hao, Y.-S. Kim, G. Kresse, and J. P. Perdew, “Self-consistent meta-generalized gradient approximation within the projector-augmented-wave method,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 035117 (2011).
- [28] Eric Cockayne and Lan Li, “First-principles studies of the atomic, electronic, and magnetic structure of α -MnO₂ (cryptomelane),” *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **544**, 53–58 (2012).
- [29] Eric Cockayne and Eric B. Nelson, “Density functional theory meta-GGA plus U study of water incorporation in the metal-organic framework material Cu-BTC,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 024701 (2015).
- [30] S. Grimme, “Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction,” *J. Comp. Chem.* **27**, 1787–1799 (2006).
- [31] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, and S. Krieg, “A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 154104 (2010).
- [32] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, and L. Goerigk, “Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory,” *J. Comp. Chem.* **3**, 1456–1465 (2011).
- [33] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, “Density-functional theory and strong interactions: orbital ordering in Mott-Hubbard insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R5467–R5470 (1995).
- [34] Phillip L. Llewellyn, Guillaume Maurin, Thomas Devic, Sandra Loera-Serna, Nilton Rosenbach, Christian Serre, Sandrine Bourrelly, Patricia Horcajada, Yaroslav Filinchuk, and Gérard Férey, “Prediction of the conditions for breathing of metal organic framework materials using a combination of X-ray powder diffraction, microcalorimetry and molecular simulation,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 12808–12814 (2008).
- [35] Chompoonoot Nanthamathee, Sanliang Ling, Ben Slater, and Martin P. Attfield, “Contradistinct thermoresponsive behavior of isostructural MIL-53 type metal-organic frameworks by modifying the framework inorganic anion,” *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 85–95 (2015).
- [36] Volker Haigis, Yacine Belkhouja, François-Xavier Coudert, Rodolphe Vuilleumier, and Anne Boutin, “Challenges in first-principles NPT molecular dynamics of soft porous crystals: a case study on MIL-53(Ga),” *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 064703 (2014).
- [37] Scott Kirklin, James E. Saal, Bryce Meredig, Alex Thompson, Jeff W. Doak, Murathan Aykol, Stephan Rühl, and Chris Wolverton, “The open quantum materials database (OQMD): assessing the accuracy of DFT formation energies,” *Comput. Mater.* **1**, 15010 (2015).
- [38] Kurt Lejaeghere *et al.*, “Reproducibility in density functional theory calculations of solids,” *Science* **351**, 1451–U81 (2016).
- [39] Fabien Tran, Julia Stelzl, and Peter Blaha, “Rungs 1 to 4 of DFT Jacob’s ladder: extensive test on the lattice constant, bulk modulus, and cohesive energy of solids,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **144**, 204120 (2016).
- [40] J. Rodriguez, I. Beurroies, M.-V. Coulet, P. Fabry, T. Devic, C. Serre, R. Denoyel, and P. L. Llewellyn, “Thermodynamics of the structural transitions in metal-organic frameworks,” *Dalton Trans.* **45**, 4274–4282 (2016).
- [41] Peter I. Ravikovitch and Alexander V. Neimark, “Density functional theory model of adsorption deformation,” *Langmuir* **22**, 10864–10868 (2006).
- [42] Pablo Serra-Crespo, Alla Dikhtiarenko, Eli Stavitski, Jana Juan-Alcañiz, Freek Kapteijn, François-Xavier Coudert, and Jorge Gascon, “Experimental evidence of negative linear compressibility in the MIL-53 metal-organic framework family,” *CrystEngComm* **17**, 276–280 (2015).